

**WE  
MUST  
SET  
OUR  
HOUSE  
(GOVERNMENT)  
IN  
ORDER!**

---

**PART ONE**

---

By MYRON C. FAGAN

---

**KNOW the TRUTH and the TRUTH SHALL MAKE you FREE**

---

By the time this "BULLETIN" will be in print, some of the suggestions contained herein may have been recognized and put into effect — if not, it will STRESS the great need to put them into effect. MCF

No. 139

Published by:

## CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD

(Organized to Combat the GREAT CONSPIRACY)

P. O. Box 46205, Hollywood, Calif. 90046

FEBRUARY 1969, NEWS-BULLETIN

The most important thing for all of us to always remember is that the salvation of our Country depends upon getting the TRUTH of the GREAT CONSPIRACY to ALL of the American people. When — and if — that will be accomplished our nation will again be FREE and SAFE. The masterminds of the GREAT CONSPIRACY (the CFR) have absolute control of ALL our MASS COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA, so we know that the PRESS, TV and RADIO won't tell the TRUTH to the people, thus it is up to YOU and me to do it . . . USE OUR "ILLUMINATI-CFR CONSPIRACY" Recordings and RED STARS OVER HOLLYWOOD, TV and RADIO "RECORDINGS TO ALERT all of the American people.

This NEWS-BULLETIN copyrighted February 1969.

Price per copy, 50 cents — For members of CEG  
the price is 25 cents per copy.

## VOICE OF THE PEOPLE

As stated in our last "News-Bulletin," No. 138, from the moment we issued our "September Special Bulletin" and the PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES," signed by the 27 retired heroic Military men, we have been deluged by letters from all parts of the Country, all wholeheartedly endorsing that "PETITION." Everybody who supported the "PETITION" agreed that our nation was in grave need of all the "Provisions" set forth in that "PETITION."

Now, as you know, in that "News-Bulletin" No. 138 I fully interpreted the real objectives of the various requirements in the PETITION, but, *more than that*, I stressed the further objectives not specifically voiced in the requirements, those unspecified objectives were: 1) Get the U.S. out of the UN and the UN out of the U.S. — and simultaneously review the treason in the original "Charter" of the UN and the thousands of acts of treason that have been incorporated in the UN since 1945, via the UN "Resolutions" and "ARTICLES" which cost us the lives of hundreds of thousands of our sons in Korea, Viet Nam and the various UN "Wars" . . . 2) Repeal of the "Federal Reserve Act" and an investigation and restoration of the Hundreds of Billions of dollars the "Masterminds" of the "Federal Reserve System" have stolen from the American people . . . 3) Repeal of the 16th and 17th Amendments, particularly the unconstitutionally illegal "Income Tax" . . . 4) Repeal of all of the Warren Supreme Court "DECISIONS," especially those "based on the principles of the UN Charter" . . . 5) Thoroughly investigate every "Invisible Government" subversive organization, particularly the "COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS" (CFR), the "Anti-Defamation League" (ADL), the NAACP, etc., etc. 6) Haul in all traitors who ever occupied the White House, the State Department, the Defense Department (Pentagon) and all other Federal Agencies, and place them on trial for "malfeasance in office" and actual Treason—and mete out to them the punishments to fit their crimes—(that would be no more *ex post facto* than were the Nuremberg Trials) and last, but by no means least, restore our CONSTITUTION to all its powers—and fully restore our Three Separate Departments of Government in accordance with the requirements of the "Constitution"—in short, without going into all further details, restore the full sovereignty of the American people as it was before the Internationalist Bankers launched their ILLUMINATI-CFR Conspiracy!

There was another point I stressed in that "News-Bulletin" No.

138," and that point was: Granting that the "PETITION" had been approved in full and passed by Congress—and, granting that the new man in the White House and the new Congress would be fully aware of the consequences of failure to fulfill all the provisions in the "PETITION," and are (temporarily) carrying out all of its provisions, there would still come this question: "How long will they, the Congress and the new man in the White House, CONTINUE to carry out the provisions?" The answer is: *as long as the people will be on GUARD to make sure that they would continue to be carried out!* And with that comes another, and still greater, question: "How long would the people continue to be on GUARD?"

As we have learned, *by bitter experience*, the memory of the people is very short—unless it is kept alive by a vigilant Press. But, tragically, we have no vigilant Press—and our Press, TV and Radio have for practically a half-century been completely controlled by the Masterminds of the Great ILLUMINATI-CFR Conspiracy—and that through all those years, instead of keeping the people informed and alerted, all our Mass Communications Media have been deliberately misinforming and/or brainwashing the people into surrender to every plot concocted by the Conspirators. To prove—and stress—that point, I will (again) repeat just two incidents of horrifying TREASON which the Mass Communications Media made the people "forget": 1) The "UNITED WORLD FEDERALISTS" (UWF) were caught red-handed and DENOUNCED by 25 State Legislatures whom they had bribed and seduced into an attempt to transform the United States into a unit of a UN One-World Government—that act of (attempted) Treason, which the Press had been FORCED to "report," was quickly glossed over by all of our Mass Communications Media, and that same treasonous UWF is still "in business" . . . 2) In 1959 Eisenhower, Nixon, the U.S. Attorney General and 70-odd "Arm-twisted" Senators entered into a plot to repeal the "Connally Amendment" and thus betray the U.S. and the American people into the control of the UN's One-World Court. That plot, too, was unmasked and averted—and the Press was forced to publish all the facts about that expose—but that same Press quickly hush-hushed that act of TREASON—and the people just as quickly "forgot" . . . and our Congress and our State Legislatures took no action against the traitors, despite the fact that our CONSTITUTION provides Congress with full power to properly punish such crimes!

Why did Congress fail to take such action? Because our Congress also obeys the dictates of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy to destroy the Freedom guaranteed to us by our CONSTITUTION.

Therefore, I again question: how long will Congress and the new

man in the White House continue to fulfill the provisions in the PETITION signed by those 27 heroic Generals and Admirals?—The answer is: only as long as there will be a Peoples' "Watchdog" in Washington to keep that PETITION alive. Knowing that, in our "News-Bulletin" No. 138, after discussions with several of the Military Men who had signed that PETITION, I suggested exactly what kind of a "Watchdog" the American people should have: I suggested that the Committee of 27 Generals and Admirals who initiated the "PETITION" shall NOT consider their job accomplished by the Congressional enactment of that PETITION into LAW, and retire into privacy, but to continue to function as an active Committee—and to further strengthen it by transforming it into what I called "A MILITARY TAKE-CARE OF GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE," to be headed by the Chairman of our Joint Chiefs of Staff, and reinforced by ALL of our loyal Military Officers, both the retired and by those who are still in active service—also by the American Legion and the VFW . . . Such a continuously functioning "Committee" could FORCE Congress to KEEP enacting the Provisions in that Petition!

---

### NOT A "MILITARY DICTATORSHIP"

---

Now, in that "News-Bulletin No. 138" I emphasized that some of our American people might *misunderstand* that term: "A MILITARY TAKE-CARE OF GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE." I voiced that precaution for a specific reason: ever since the Revolutionary War, when our Founding Fathers freed us of the Dictatorship by England, the American people abhorred the very thought of *any* kind of "Dictatorship," but particularly a "Military Dictatorship." The very fact that our Constitution, in so many words, stressed that all the heads of our various Departments of Government should be "Civilians" would automatically make the word MILITARY "suspect." Therefore, when I decided to suggest "A MILITARY TAKE-CARE COMMITTEE," it struck me that that very word "MILITARY" might alarm some of our people into a feeling that it could mean the abandonment of our Constitutional Government in favor of a MILITARY one. Hence my stressing that the title, or "name" for a "TAKE-CARE" Committee, such as I suggested, should not be construed as a "MILITARY DICTATORSHIP"—what I meant was a WATCHDOG Committee composed of men who throughout their years had willingly laid their lives "on the line" on battle fields for the preservation of our Constitutional Government—moreover,

composed of men who had been trained to protect our Constitutional Government, also who, by their very training, would know how to create a General Plan of Action for the defense of our Country, inside and out. Now, to prove my point: at the end of World War Two, the USA was, militarily and otherwise, the most powerful nation on this earth, it was the most powerful nation in the history of the world. Then, during the seven years that our Defense Department was headed by CIVILIAN Robert Strange McNamara, our Defensive and Offensive strength had steadily been eroded, until today Moscow actually is in first place!

In short, so strictly have we adhered to the idea that we must entrust the safety, security and destiny of our nation *only* to Civilians that we have been overlooking the fact that Civilians can be far more traitorous than professional Military men. In addition to the McNamara character, that truth is exemplified by Secretaries of State, such as Dulles and Rusk—by Presidents, such as Woodrow Wilson, Franklin Roosevelt, Johnson, etc. In order to leave nothing to imagination, we devoted, *almost entirely*, our "News-Bulletin, No. 137," entitled "TREASON WITHIN IS DESTROYING OUR NATION," to a full review of the betrayals and TREASON committed by the "Civilians" to whom we have been entrusting our nation. Read that "NEWS-BULLETIN"—*study it!* Then, study the 27 men who signed the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES," study the del Valles, the Stratmeyers, the Willoughbys, study the late Gen. MasArthur, the late Gen. Patton—can you imagine any of those men betraying our Constitution by setting themselves up as "Military Dictators" of the Country to which they had consecrated their lives? Can you imagine a group of Stratmeyers, del Valles, Willoughbys, etc., permitting *anyone* in their group to set himself up as a "Dictator?"

---

### VAST MAJORITY AGREE

---

The response to our "News-Bulletin No. 138," in which we suggested the "MILITARY TAKE-CARE OF GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE," was almost, if not fully, as great as was the response to our "SEPTEMBER SPECIAL BULLETIN" on behalf of the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES." But, whereas there were (*practically*) no objectors to the "PETITION," as I had anticipated, there were some (*speaking percentage-wise, a few*) objectors to the "MILITARY TAKE-CARE COMMITTEE." In fact, there were *two* types of "objectors." It didn't require much "study"

to fully appraise both types—and the *motives*, or reasons for their "objections." The first type expressed great fears that such a "Committee" would be an outright "MILITARY DICTATORSHIP," with full control over all of the American people—then they completely contradicted (and revealed) themselves by bluntly stating that PEACE for the whole world could be achieved only by a "One-World Government," which was to be provided with all necessary military power to prevent (by FORCE) any nation from "stepping out of line." Of course, they meant a "One-World Government," such as the UN actually IS today—in fact, some NAMED the UN! Do I have to say anything more about those "objectors?"

The other type "objector" is well-meaning and sincere, but utterly naive. He, too, considers such a "Committee" as purely a "MILITARY DICTATORSHIP." He (and she) objects to the "protective custody" of the President, Secretaries of State, of Defense, of other Federal officials—their view is that the "protective custody" only means that all those official figures would be "prisoners" of the "Dictators" and would be forced to "legislate" as they, the "Dictators," would require. They make that deduction seemingly sound quite reasonable by stating that if such protection for our nation is so desperately needed, the Press, TV and Radio would surely have been alerting the people to that need. That's why I say they are "naive," because, as I have previously established, during recent years it has become clearly obvious that the Press, TV and Radio, ALL Mass Communications Media, have long been under the complete control of the Masterminds (CFR) of the Great Conspiracy—and publish only what the "Masterminds" order them to publish, and thus BRAINWASH the people!

---

### PROOF FOR THE "NAIVE."

---

To completely alert the "naive" about the plans of the 27 Generals and Admirals who finally issued the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES"—to prove that it was never intended to be a secret operation to impose a "MILITARY DICTATORSHIP" on the American people—and that they wanted all of the American people to know all about their objective, I will go back to the motivations for that original "PETITION."

All of our most loyal Military men were never deceived by the objectives of the creators of the UN. They were fully aware of all treason that had been committed to bring about World War Two—

and the treasons and betrayals committed during the war, by Franklin Roosevelt, George Marshall, Eisenhower, et al. They became even more disturbed by what happened during the "Korean War." They recognized all the plottings inherent in the various RESOLUTIONS and ARTICLES issued by the UN. But all of them kept hoping that our Congress would finally become conscience-stricken and take the necessary action to put a stop to the Conspiracy. Then came that horrifying "7277 DOCUMENT," the plot to transfer all our Military forces and Armaments to the UN—then came the Cuban incident, Viet Nam, etc., etc. And the various men who finally signed the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" realized that some sort of drastic action would have to be taken to save our Country from the "Civilian Leaders," such as the CFR-controlled man in the White House, the McNamaras, the Rusks and the CFR tools in all high places in our government, including the Congress! And they decided upon the "PETITION" as one of the surest ways to FORCE Congressional action.

However, they did NOT want to do it *secretly*—they wanted all of the American people to know *exactly* all about their objectives—to make all the people KNOW that the "PETITION" would in no way become a "Military Dictatorship," but, instead, be a CONSTITUTIONAL instrument to restore our CONSTITUTIONAL (Peoples') government. In order to get their full story to all the people, they submitted the "PETITION" and a complete explanation to a number of national magazines and most important newspapers (the Press). They (the Press) all refused to publish the story. Thereupon, the "PETITION" Committee submitted the same story to the national TV networks and the Radio Channels. Both TV and Radio refused to divulge the story to the people. In short, it was obvious that they could never get the TRUTH of their objectives to the people through our Mass Communications Media—so they decided to go ahead anyway.

However, there was one national magazine, "SCIENCE & MECHANICS," that decided to cooperate with the "COMMITTEE." This Magazine is not one of our largest publications—it does not have the kind of circulation that would spread the "story" to all of the American people. But it is *not* controlled by the CFR—it, plus various local newspapers and independent publications, such as our "NEWS-BULLETIN," are (actually) our only FREE Press.

Anyway, when the "COMMITTEE" submitted their "PETITION" and their story to "SCIENCE & MECHANICS," that publication assigned the matter to their best research writer, Lloyd Mallan, with instructions to "dig" for all the facts that make such a PETI-

TION necessary—to interview not only the Military men who signed the PETITION, but every other possible source of information—and to publish his "findings" without fear or favor.

All of us who have read the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" can easily recall that it contains demands for action to restore our "Constitution" to what it was intended to be, also that it stresses the necessary action to bring "PERIOD" to the so-called "war" in Viet Nam, and an end to the continuous slaughter of our boys and the outpourings of our financial resources. Therefore the "Davis Publications of New York," owners of "SCIENCE & MECHANICS" magazine, instructed their Mr. Mallan to concentrate on the Viet Nam situation. Mr. Mallan went directly to Washington "to find out why the United States, the most powerful nation in the world has for years been unable to defeat that little rag-tag so-called nation." Mr. Mallan spoke with more than a dozen top-ranking military men—and those interviews are the basis for his "report."

Among the great and loyal American Military leaders he interviewed are two former Chairmen of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, a famed Chief of Naval Operations, a retired Chief of Staff of the Army, two Vice Chiefs of Staff of the Air Force and the former Commander-in-Chief of the Strategic Air Command (SAC). Two of those he interviewed, because they are still on active duty, could not be named, or even identified as to their military departments. The others are identified by their ranks and positions as follows: 1) Gen. Maxwell D. Taylor, former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff . . . 2) Gen. Nathan F. Twining, former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff . . . 3) *Anonymous General* U.S. Army, still in active service . . . 4) Admiral Arleigh A. Burke, former Chief of Naval Operations . . . 5) Gen. George H. Decker, former Army Chief of Staff . . . 6) Gen. Frederic H. Smith, Jr., former Vice Chief of Staff, Air Force . . . 7) Gen. Thomas S. Power, former Commander-in-Chief, Strategic Air Command . . . 8) Lt. Gen. Ira C. Eaker, former Vice Chief of Staff, Air Force . . . 9) Lt. Gen. Arthur G. Trudeau, former Army Chief of Research and Development . . . 10) Maj. Gen. Gilbert L. Meyers, former Deputy Commander of both the Second Air Division, Pacific Air Force, and the 7th Air Force in Viet Nam . . . 11) Brig. Gen. Henry C. Huglin, former U.S. Representative to the NATO Military Committee and Standing Group and presently Senior Military Scientist with TEMPO, General Electric Company's Center for Advanced Studies . . . 12) another *anonymous* Brig. Gen. U.S. Army, still in active service.

If you are a parent with draft-age sons, or if you are a draft-age male, or if you are just simply a good and loyal American who

desires all people of the world to live in peace and harmony, you will be happy to learn from the statements of all of the above Military Experts that the "war" against North Viet Nam can *positively* be won in *six weeks*. Those same Military Experts stated in positive terms that Communist intimidations and aggressions in the free areas of Asia can also be struck a paralyzing blow in those same brief six weeks. Furthermore, once the war in the North is concluded, the remaining Viet Cong guerrillas in the South could be conquered and eliminated within the following six months—their tactics of terror and murder reduced to sporadic individual acts of desperation, and even such, too, would cease under pressure from the free Vietnamese people.

All those time-estimates for complete victory in Vietnam are based on serious and lengthy discussions with some of the most experienced and knowledgeable military experts in this country. The accuracy of those opinions is conclusive because not one of these experts knew in advance what the others had told Mr. Mallan. Yet every one of them was in strict agreement with every other one. They were also in complete agreement that neither Moscow nor Red China would dare step in physically to confront us if we did what we MUST do to achieve victory . . . *only the UN and our own TRAITORS WITHIN stand in the way of such action!*

Here are the recommendations of these experts for a quick victory in Viet Nam—*recommendations which are included in the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES."* Congress must OFFICIALLY declare a state of war against the Hanoi Government, immediately close the port of Haiphong through which Hanoi receives at least 70 per cent of her war supplies; invade the North above the 17th Parallel, simultaneously warn the North Vietnamese civilians to get out of the target areas, then swiftly destroy all targets of consequence. At the same time, warn Red China and Moscow that we (*not the UN*) are now *legally* at war with North Vietnam, and that any attempts to supply the North with arms would be answered militarily as an overt act of war against us.

Now all the above measures may appear to be provocative and harsh, but they are the only ways to abruptly bring to an end a "war" in which, to begin with, we never had any business to become involved—and in which we never would have become involved if Eisenhower, Kennedy and Johnson had not deliberately obeyed *the UN* to get us so involved—*a war to be continued for another five, ten or more years, as it will suit the UN Conspirators!* . . .

The average American, no matter how well informed he may think he is, cannot possibly know what goes on behind the scenes

of Government. He cannot possibly know the treasonous political "reasonings" as to why we are fighting a deliberately "no-win" war, sacrificing our Youth and our wealth, that is unprecedented throughout the history of military science—knowing, *as we all do*, that we have the military strength to crush North Vietnam *with practically a single blow!*

But those 27 Military men who signed the original "PETITION" do know all about the treason behind both the Korean and Viet Nam "no-win wars." They know the only way to smash all that treason. They want all of the American people to know. That was why they appealed to our Mass Communications Media to tell the whole story to the people. But, as already described, only one publication, "SCIENCE & MECHANICS," responded to that appeal. But to make sure the American people know that those 27 men *really* know all the facts, they (SCIENCE & MECHANICS) assigned Lloyd Mallan to do a thorough job of researching—to get all the answers from *unimpeachable* sources. Mr. Mallan spent three months in steadily "digging" and interviewing for all the behind-the-scenes information.

At first he ran up against a stone wall, so to speak. His first bit of information was stunningly surprising. He applied to the Pentagon, as the surest source, only to discover that no military officer of either the highest or the lowest rank was permitted to talk about *why* we are doing the bewildering things we are doing in Vietnam. All those officers were permitted to give him a so-called "briefing" on the *way* things are going in the "war," but they were not permitted to give him their personal opinions or criticisms—even "off-the-record." As one Public Affairs Officer in the Department of Defense explained it to him: "Even if you would not attribute your quotes to a specific officer, his name would be known after you published your article—because there would be a record here of the officers we cleared you with for interviews. That record is mandatory—and anyone who would make a revelation that is 'classified' would be subject to, at the very least, a court martial."

Thus, it is obvious that our supposed "Free" Press is not only controlled by the CFR, but, even if it were not so controlled, would not be permitted to inform the public about Government policies that could be—and are—wrong. Mr. Mallan was effectively blocked by the Department of Defense at the very beginning of his investigation . . . or so they thought. But, Mallan had a few old friends in the Pentagon who were willing to see him on the spot, as it were—that is, outside of the Pentagon. He went to one of these who had spent considerable time in Vietnam and, by that token, was not a novice in his job. Mallan could not, and will not, identify either

him or the military department in which he works, for the reason already described. But this man is a ranking officer with great military experience. Here is what he told Mallan:

"I can't understand the way we are fighting this war. We knew all about the SAM (Russian Surface-to-air Missile) sites at least five months before the first one was fired—yet we did not knock them out FOR FEAR OF KILLING RUSSIANS WORKING ON THEM! As soon as the SAMS were fully set up they began killing our boys, so then we began to attack those Missile Sites—AFTER THE ENEMY WAS GIVEN SUFFICIENT TIME AND CHANCE TO PROTECT THEM WITH MODERN RADAR-CONTROLLED WEAPONS. In fact," he added, "our slowpoke way of fighting this war has continuously given the enemy the time and security to build up the most concentrated anti-aircraft firepower in military history."

Mr. Mallan asked him what he would suggest doing to win the war faster than we are now doing . . . Bear in mind that that interview took place at the very time when thousands of our boys were being killed and maimed by those very SAMS!!!

"Although the element of surprise is now gone," replied the officer, "the North could be paralyzed quickly with an all-out invasion by Air, Sea and Land. Blockade all of Hanoi's harbors. We could do this effectively by filling some of our old Liberty ships with cement, drive them on up there to the harbor-mouths and scuttle them—sink them in the shallow waters. Of course, they would have to be conveyed to their scuttling destinations by our Navy and protected against enemy fire by both the Navy and our Air Force—but it CAN be done! I would also mine the Haiphong harbor. It would be very easy to drop the mines from our aircraft. The enemy has ruined the harbor at Saigon and caused a lot of damage to OUR shipping—Why don't we do the same thing to North Vietnam? . . . Meanwhile, an amphibious landing of our forces in the area surrounding Haiphong would be decisive. It would force Ho Chi Mien's hand—he would have to recall his troops from the South to fight for survival in his own homeland. And I might mention that our firepower is far superior to that of the North Vietnamese Army. Another factor, an extremely vital one in this kind of invasion, is the psychological one: the people of North Vietnam would see that we meant business—it would shake them up—and Ho would be faced with internal dissent as well as with external military force . . . Add to this an invasion over the 17th Parallel and concentrated bombardment of EVERY important target by air and sea—AND THE WAR IN THE NORTH WOULD BE FINISHED WITHIN SIX WEEKS."

"But," asked Mr. Mallan, "how about the Vietcong in the South—

wouldn't they continue to fight their guerrilla war against Saigon?"

"They would—for a while," replied the officer, "but anyone who wants to fight effectively needs food as well as arms. Their MAJOR supply of both would be cut off with the defeat of Hanoi. Then we could blockade the borders of Cambodia and Laos—and that would cut off their MINOR sources of supply. The Vietcong couldn't last—they would just dry up and drop off the trees."

There remained a great big question: why are we not fighting the "war" in Vietnam the way it should be fought—the way that officer described. The answer is simple, for those of us who know—those of us who remember how a similar "war" was fought in Korea under the control of the UN. Mr. Mallan asked that question of another officer, even higher in rank and broader in experience. Quite understandably, this officer, too, has to remain anonymous. His answer to Mallan's question was: "POLITICS: the people don't demand the true answer because they mistrust the military, navies, and fear as a result of misinformation. Another important quality involved is the concept of 'flexible response' which was derived from the personal aspirations of the Conspirators."

The latter very high-ranking military officer then told Mallan the following story:

"Just after the Air Force was removed from Army control and set up as a separate military department, the emphasis was placed on air power. At that time the President (Eisenhower) saw the vital importance of building a powerful Air Force, (so he said) second to none in the world. So the biggest part of the Defense budget went into realizing that aim. General Maxwell Taylor, then Army Chief of Staff, resented that idea. He had the following dislikes: Number one was the Air Force as an independent Department. Number two, with lesser intensity, was the Navy. He (Taylor) saw the Army being neglected, being deprived of the elite prestige it had held during all the years before. He tried very hard to persuade Eisenhower to build up the Army rather than the Air Force. His argument was that, if ever again a shooting war got started, there would be a huge vacuum if the Army were not properly supported. Eisenhower, although an ARMY man, rejected Taylor's argument, the reason he gave was that there are some enemy armies, the Red Chinese in particular, with their multitudes of potential conscripts, that we could not effectively fight with a land army. But, he stressed, we could destroy that enemy's capability to support an army with superior firepower from the air and the sea—knock out his means of communications, industrial production and food production. Thereby Eisenhower pointed out, you paralyze not only an enemy's capability

ity but his will to wage war. So, said Eisenhower, who cares about the 'vacuum'? You couldn't step into it anyway. Nevertheless, Taylor continued to hound Eisenhower about the need to fill that 'vacuum.' He finally went to his friends in the Congress, asking them to put pressure on the President. That infuriated Eisenhower. He called Taylor in and angrily—AND OFFICIALLY—ordered him to stop hitting away at the subject on Capitol Hill and elsewhere. That silenced Taylor until a new President stepped into the White House. Then he (Taylor) went to Kennedy with his old pitch. He also proposed a new approach to warfare, bearing in mind how MacArthur had been circumvented in Korea, and sensing another Korea-type of war in Vietnam, he wanted to get some Army troops into Vietnam—AS A WARNING TO NO VIETNAM AND HO CHI MIEN."

(Note—During Eisenhower's Administration, a comparative handful of U.S. military advisors had been sent to Vietnam at the (supposed) request for aid by the Saigon Government. But Eisenhower had insisted that those Advisors wear civilian clothes. Taylor's plan, as he (Taylor) expressed it to Kennedy, was to send UNIFORMED men to Vietnam, which was to be the first step toward building our Army's prestige and power in that area. And, strangely, however, he proposed a system of minor escalations, to wit: hit the enemy—but not too hard—and stop and wait to see what he (the enemy) will do next. That was his theory of "flexible response." To a trained (and loyal) military mind, as some have expressed it, it is a slow-moving way to fight a war, because it keeps you basically on the defensive—but General Taylor evidently viewed it differently. Just exactly what motivated that "view" is anybody's guess. That it was a lack of military intelligence is hard to believe—yet it is equally hard to believe that he was voicing the "views" of other men who wanted the U.S. to adopt that kind of war "game." Anyway, the idea appealed to Jack Kennedy and his "intellectual" advisers in the White House. . . . bear in mind that among those "intellectual" advisers were Rusk, McNamara and others of that (CFR-controlled) ilk! They (Rusk, McNamara and the others) thought (?) that that would be a "humane" way to show the enemy (Ho Chi Mien and the No. Vietnamese) that we were supporting the South Vietnamese Government—without any danger of an actual confrontation with Red China or Moscow.

After they (Kennedy and his advisers) bought the idea, Taylor saw his Army gradually come to life again. The few American military advisers wearing civilian clothes in Vietnam under Eisenhower soon expanded to 16,000 troops in uniform under Kennedy. Then, because Taylor's "flexible response" technique actually bought time for the enemy to infiltrate more and more troops from the North into



the South, the U.S. was forced to meet the challenge by sending more and more troops, until by November 1967, there were approximately a half-million of our men in Vietnam—and little more than 10 percent of them were Air Force and Navy Personnel! Thus, as we know, under Johnson, the war continuously escalated in terms of men and firepower. But both were—and still are—restricted to the "flexible response" technique—which is not so "flexible" after all, because it has been keeping us in an (militarily) unscientific straitjacket of limiting our objectives. Actually, in the minds of those (CFR-controlled) civilian Government "intellectual" advisers to the President (both JFK and LBJ), the phrase "LIMITED WAR" has been equated with "LIMITED" warfare—which means a "no-win" policy.

At this point, let's go back to that period when Taylor was badgering Eisenhower to build up our Army and Eisenhower's contention that to combat a possible conflict with the Red Chinese it was far more important to build up our Air and Naval power so as to enable us to destroy the enemy's (*Ho Chi Mien and the Viet Cong*) capability to support an army with superior firepower from the Air and Sea. What became of that "strategy"? During Eisenhower's Administration, we DID have such Air and Sea firepower superiority. Why was it never used during the past 8 years of JFK and LBJ Administrations? I will answer that question by quoting a report from "U.S. News & World Report" of June 10, 1968.

"From Gen. Curtis E. LeMay comes a warning of 'grave danger' for the U.S.—and one of the hardest-hitting attacks yet on Robert S. McNamara's seven years in the Pentagon.

"This country," says the retired Air Force Chief, "is in deep military trouble as a result of civilian control of the Pentagon." In a book, "AMERICA IS IN DANGER," Gen. LeMay says:

"We have permitted our general war capability to rust . . . we have scrapped 1,000 B-47's without providing replacements. Our B-52's are also on the way out. . . . Our Minuteman force has been reduced to a thousand missiles while our higher-yield Titans are phasing out. Our Polaris submarine fleet is static at 41 vessels. . . . No 'anti-ballistic missile system' has been put into service. We stand nakedly exposed to a first-strike! Even our air-defense system has been allowed to deteriorate. We have no space weaponry of any sort. Our survival depends almost exclusively on the effectiveness of Minuteman and Polaris—and how effective are they? No one truly knows!"

"After tracing the massive Soviet build-up of recent years," the General

concludes: 'If we have not already lost our military superiority, we are well on the way to it.'

"The main reason for today's troubles," writes General LeMay, "is a strategy of deterrence, that has placed the U.S. in a purely defensive role in the war." The blame for this is placed on Mr. McNamara, who served as Secretary of Defense from 1961 until his retirement earlier this year. In aiming for nothing more than nuclear parity with the Soviets, Gen. LeMay charges, Mr. McNamara in fact cut back our nation's power to a secondary position. He is accused of wresting 'every residual threat of authority' from the Joint Chiefs of Staff and of dictating decisions despite unanimous military opposition. Gen. LeMay says these were almost always wrong and wasteful and accompanied by 'flagrant bits of deception' presented to Congress and the public.

The Defense Secretary's decision to cancel the Skybolt Air-borne missile, creating a diplomatic furor in Britain, was made without any consultation at all with main advisers, Gen. LeMay says. He faults McNamara for his delay of an anti-missile system; for scrapping the B-70 Bomber; and for pressing ahead with the F-111 Bomber version. Gen. LeMay calls the F-111 an inferior stopgap weapon system. Of McNamara's creation of a unified Defense Intelligence Agency to supersede those of the individual services, and creation of other vast military bureaucracies, General LeMay states in his book: 'I question whether an organization as unwieldy and amateurish as has been created by the Secretary of Defense could ever propagate a successful war—the dismal results in Vietnam attest to this doubt.'

There you have the "no-win War in Vietnam Conspiracy" right from the horse's mouth! ! !

The MALLAN "REPORT" will be completed in our following "News-Bulletin," No. 140, to be issued in March.

Note:—While the following has no direct connection with the "Mallon Report," it has such a great bearing on another feature of the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES," namely the Provision for the investigation (and repeal) of the "Federal Reserve Act," that I feel the following documentary proof that the "FED" is NOT a U.S. Government Agency is vitally necessary information for the American people. . . . It is a matter of common knowledge that all Federal Government Agencies are (must be) listed in all

telephone Directories under the heading of "U.S. Government Agencies." Therefore, if the "FED" is a Government Agency, as the CFR stooges, and perhaps naive members of Congress, would have the people believe, it would have to be found under the "United States Government Agencies" listing. Well, recently we did some re-searching of the telephone directories in Los Angeles and San Francisco, and here is what we found:

"FEDERAL RESERVE BANK of SAN FRANCISCO, 409 West Olympic, Los Angeles, California. Telephone number: 627-7641"

... We then checked the San Francisco telephone directory, and here is what we found:

"FEDERAL RESERVE BANK of SAN FRANCISCO, Sansom & Sacramento Streets, San Francisco, California, telephone number (415) 397-1137.

Both were found NOT under "UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT" listings, but both were listed with the regular listings—which clearly establishes that the "FED" cannot legitimately or legally be listed as a U.S. Government owned Agency.

Now if that is not enough to prove that the "FED" is a privately owned operation, digest the following item. In San Francisco our research expert came across a Saloon operating under the name of "FEDERAL RESERVE COCKTAIL LOUNGE." The address of this Saloon is 390 Golden Gate Avenue, at the corner of Lark, which is across the street from the Federal Building in that City. Our researcher talked with Charlie Cunningham, one of the partner-owners of this saloon. Mr. Cunningham stated *very positively* that the "Federal Reserve Bank" is NO part of the Federal Government, and that they have been unable to prevent him from using the name "Federal Reserve" for his saloon. He added that he had chosen that name for his saloon because the employees working in the Federal Building patronize his place of business and he keeps a supply of special liquors in "reserve" for them. He further stated that the "Federal Reserve Bank" officials employed some very frantic measures to prevent his use of the name, but could find no legal means to accomplish it, so then they tried to bribe him by offering to pay the cost for a new sign, plus \$1,000 for "the inconvenience of changing the name under which his liquor license was issued, and also to defray the costs of advertising the change of name. Cunningham rejected their 'bribe,' and continues to operate as "The Federal Reserve Cocktail Lounge" . . . Now, can you imagine a REAL Federal Agency, such as, let's say, "The Federal Communications Com-

mission" having to resort to bribes to prevent a saloon keeper from naming his place of business "The Federal Communications Commission Cocktail Lounge"? A simple court order would quickly do the job. So what's the answer? The FED is NOT a U.S. Government Agency and has no way to prevent the use of that name (Federal Reserve) by any other business organization. Which PROVES that the "FED" is a privately owned operation—and definitely establishes the desperately vital need for a thorough investigation by Congress of the "Federal Reserve Act."

Please get this story, the TRUTH about the FED, to all your friends and neighbors and get them to relay it to their Representatives and Senators—also get them to do all in their power to enact into Law ALL the "Provisions" in the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" . . . it means the salvation of our Country!!

Now, apropos of the treasonous brainwashings and falsifications committed by our CFR-controlled Mass Communications Media, the following is:

#### AN IMPORTANT ADDENDUM!

All readers of our "News-Bulletins" are fully aware of the complete enslavement of our Mass Communications Media by the (CFR) Masterminds of the GREAT CONSPIRACY. Hollywood, Radio and TV are actually owned by the Internationalist Bankers and their stooges—ditto virtually the Press and all Magazines. However, there are a few, *tragically too few*, individual newspapers and publications, such as the Chicago Tribune, New York Daily News, The Councilor, our own "News-Bulletins", etc., that are still what we commonly call a "Free Press." But even combined they do not achieve full nation-wide coverage. That is why the vast majority of the American people, *victims of the CFR-controlled Media*, are brainwashed and continue to be unaware of the doom planned for our nation.

But recently one publication in newspaper form was called to my attention, to wit: "The CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR," which does have a vast nation-wide, indeed a world-wide, circulation, and which *could* do a miraculous job of alerting the American people. I am not prejudiced in making that statement, because

I am not, and never have been, a member of the Christian Science Movement, but I do know of the power of the "MONITOR" to influence the thinking of people as regards all of the affairs of our nation. I first became aware of the "MONITOR" during my early years in journalism. I had a great admiration and deep respect for its dedication to *truthful reporting*—even in those years when our entire Press was true to all the ethics of journalism. But, gradually, in later years, especially after Erwin Canham became Editor-in-Chief of the "MONITOR," its integrity and dedication to TRUTH began to go into a gradual decline. To me that was particularly tragic, because during the past decades when the Press was financially taken over by the Conspirators (CFR), I kept hoping that the "MONITOR" which always was, and I believe always will be invulnerable to CFR financial control, would be a Beacon of Light for the American people, whether Christian Scientists, Protestants or Catholics—a "reporter" of "TRUTH" of all happenings that would pierce through the "brainwashings" and falsehoods that were *and are*, confusing and bewildering our people.

That "hope" was brought home to me with a terrific impact by a letter I received a few days ago—a letter which should have a similar impact on all the people who read the "MONITOR" whether they are SCIENTISTS, or non-Scientists. The following is a verbatim reprint of the letter—it speaks for itself.

---

"An OPEN LETTER to Erwin D. Canham, Editor-in-Chief of The Christian Science Monitor—"AWAKE THOU THAT SLEEPETH"

"Mr. Canham:—There are questions to be answered: As you are responsible for the policies governing the 'Christian Science Monitor,' these questions are directed to you. If you are NOT responsible for its policies, then those questions should go to the Board of Trustees of the Christian Science Publishing Society.

"Every reader of the MONITOR knows that it is a publication that is FREE and UNRESTRICTED. It is NOT under the domination of the Federal Communications Commission, nor can it be tampered with by any outside influences or Groups, no matter how strong or seemingly powerful they may be. It stands as a unique publication, absolutely outside of a controlled Press. . . . Knowing its own position, why then does it not publish the TRUTH about conditions surrounding our Government? Why does it not state all the FACTS? These facts are fast becoming known! Many private individuals are struggling against great odds to get them to the people. It

— 18 —

has been known for years that the moneyed interests of the World are trying to destroy every good thing, so beautifully and strongly stated by our great Constitution. It is known by many that the UNITED NATIONS is a false concept of Government, that it was ordered and constructed by Groups opposing everything good in the FREE World, all that we stand for as a Nation. It (the UN) is an attempt to blind in the name of "good for all," and to force us to hand over all our sovereignty, all our rights, all the grand and noble concepts our forefathers fought and died for, all of this held absolutely without compromise until traitors began to get into our government, infiltrated there by un-American outside forces—moneyed forces with concepts inimical to all we stand for. . . . Why does the MONITOR stand aloof? Why does it not bring this out in forceful positive ways?—as only IT could! Honest men are giving their very lives in an effort to get the truth to the people and the MONITOR stands by silent, KNOWING the TRUTH and yet not telling it, when this is the reason for its being! . . . Mr. Canham, you were a Rhodes Scholar—you were given a wonderful opportunity to know many things of vital interest to the welfare of this Country. You MUST know the UNITED NATIONS is a phoney. It is known that it always has been, and always will be, headed by a Communist. You surely must know that "WORLD GOVERNMENT" as it is placed before us is a false concept, conceived by and for slavery of all men, not FREEDOM as we know it. . . . The "MONITOR" stands for freedom of speech, freedom of policy, dominion over all false teachings and yet, it does not have—must I say GUTS to publish the truth.

"It has been said by some in your organization, that we live in different times, different concepts, different environments—that all these things must be handled differently. . . . Is the TRUTH ever anything but the TRUTH? Can we hide TRUTH behind expediency? Do the people who govern the policies of the "MONITOR" have special insight into TRUTH above and beyond its readers? . . . Do you not know what treason is? Anyone who refuses to state the TRUTH about present day conditions knowing them to be destructive to our true way of life is a traitor—just as those who deliberately betray their Country through false actions are traitors!

This Country is in great trouble. It was put in this condition through false prophets, those false to all that the "MONITOR" truly stands for. . . . Can you not see this? . . . THINK—this is your own request in the "MONITOR!"

"If the great Leader of Christian Science, Mary Baker Eddy, were faced with this dilemma, would SHE say: "This is not the time"? I don't think so. She would demand the whole truth and its proof (which can be had). She would stand up and be COUNTED—just as she established the "MONITOR" to do!

"Stand up before the world of destructive evils, Mr. Canham, and declare the TRUTH about all things. . . . Publish the FACTS as they happen.

— 19 —

"Haven't you and your staff the courage to face up to this demand for your Country? It is in great danger! The time for courageous Leadership is here. Look deeply into your consciousness, you will find the way—I truly believe that from reading your testimony your own mother would have.

"Stand fast, therefore, in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free and be not entangled with the Yoke of Bondage. Ye did run well, who did hinder you that we should not obey the TRUTH?" Galatians 5 - 1 and 7

---

The writer of the above letter to Canham prefers to remain anonymous, and I respect that wish. But I earnestly urge all who read it to "spread the word" about it. Send copies to Canham and to all of the officials of the Christian Science Movement. The "MONITOR" CAN be just as effective as the writer proclaimed. It is up to all Christian Scientists and non-Scientists who read the "MONITOR" to do all in their powers to restore the "MONITOR" to the functions intended for it by its founder, Mary Baker Eddy, who said: "The object of the MONITOR is to injure no man, but to bless all of mankind" MCF.

**CPA BOOK PUBLISHER**

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

*Email: [cpabooks@hotmail.com](mailto:cpabooks@hotmail.com)*